

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. 160x 1450 Argendria, Virginia 22313-1450

					<u> </u>		
APPLICATION NO.	Fl	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	F	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,606	10/802,606 03/17/2004		David M. Ziemann		14846-54	8921	
28221	7590	09/08/2006			EXAMINER		
DOCKET ADMINISTRATOR LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC 65 LIVINGSTON AVENUE				_	LEWIS, CHERYL RENEA		
				· [ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ROSELAN	068			2167			
				D.	DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/802,606	ZIEMANN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Cheryl Lewis	2167	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
VVHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONET	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be the drawing(s).	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 3/17/04.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e	

Application/Control Number: 10/802,606

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-30 are presented for examination.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

2. The information disclosure statements filed on March 17, 2004, complies with the provisions of MPEP § 609. They have been placed in the application file, and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Banning et al. (Pat. No. 5,471,613 filed August 11, 1994, hereinafter Banning).
- 5. Regarding Claim 1, Banning teaches a tree structure representation of an sql clause.

The method and associated system for a tree structure representation of an sql clause as taught or suggested by Banning includes:

identifying a set of trees in the collection of tree data structures (col. 3, lines 13-37), each tree in the set of trees having a same structure (col. 3, lines 50-67, '... tree

Application/Control Number: 10/802,606

Art Unit: 2167

structure according to the present invention for representing the logical arrangement (AOR B OR C) AND (D AND (E OR F)). In contrast to the Boolean factor tree, note that three leaves, A, B and C, extend from OR node'); forming a pattern having the same structure as each tree in the set of trees (col. 4, lines 1-61, '... SQL WHERE clause defined in FIG. 4C can be created, according to the preferred practice of the invention, by manipulation of either the SQL statement in subwindow 22 or the tree structure in subwindow 21.'); and processing the pattern (col. 4, lines 1-61).

- 6. Regarding Claims 2, 9, 17, 20, 24, and 27, Banning teaches the pattern is processed in lieu of processing each tree in the set of trees (col. 4, lines 49-61, 'FIG. 5B shows the linking of two predicates through a logical AND operator, and the further addition of another predicate to reach the stage depicted in FIG. 5C.').
- 7. Regarding Claims 3, 10, and 28, Banning teaches processing the pattern comprises applying a query tree to the pattern (col. 4, lines 1-61).
- 8. Regarding Claims 4, 14, 21, and 29, Banning teaches the pattern comprises applying an extend operation to the pattern (figure 5H, 'SALARY > 10000').
- 9. Regarding Claims 5, 15, 22, and 30, Banning teaches the pattern comprises applying an intersect operation to the pattern (col. 3, lines 50-54, '(A OR B OR C) AND (D AND (E OR F))').
- 10. Regarding Claims 6, 11, 19, and 26, Banning teaches storing the pattern in a computer-readable memory (col. 2, lines 58-61); storing the leaf node of each tree in the set of trees in a computer-readable memory (col. 2, lines 58-61); and a leaf node having a value (col. 3, line 53, '...three leaves, A, B, AND C...').

Application/Control Number: 10/802,606 Page 4

Art Unit: 2167

11. Regarding Claims 7 and 12, Banning teaches the pattern is stored in lieu of storing the same structure of each tree in the set of trees (col. 2, lines 58-67).

- 12. Regarding Claim 8, Banning teaches partitioning the collection of tree data structures into disjoint sets of trees (col. 3, lines 50-67, '... tree structure according to the present invention for representing the logical arrangement (AOR B OR C) AND (D AND (E OR F)). In contrast to the Boolean factor tree, note that three leaves, A, B and C, extend from OR node'), each set of trees comprising trees of a same structure (col. 3, lines 13-37); forming a set of patterns, each pattern corresponding to one of the set of trees and each pattern having the same structures as its corresponding set of trees (col. 4, lines 1-61, '... SQL WHERE clause defined in FIG. 4C can be created, according to the preferred practice of the invention, by manipulation of either the SQL statement in subwindow 22 or the tree structure in subwindow 21.'); and processing the set of patterns (col. 4, lines 1-61).
- 13. Regarding Claim 13, Banning teaches each distributed processor processing one or more of the patterns in the set of patterns (col. 2, lines 1-67).
- 14. Regarding Claim 16, the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 1 presented above. In addition, Banning teaches a database component operative to maintain a database comprising the collection of tree data structures (col. 2, line 66) and processing component communicatively connected to the database component (col. 2, lines 58-67).
- 15. Regarding Claims 18 and 25, the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 3 presented above. In addition, Banning teaches an input component

Application/Control Number: 10/802,606

Art Unit: 2167

connected to the processing component (col. 2, lines 58-67) and receiving information from the input component (col. 2, lines 58-67).

16. Regarding Claim 23, the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejections of claims 8 and 16 presented above. It is therefore rejected as set forth above.

NAME OF CONTACT

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Lewis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4113. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

(571) 273-4113 (Use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper/amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions.).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/ Technology Center (571) 272-2100.

Art Unit: 2167

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cheryl Lewis

Patent Examiner

September 5, 2006